Modular Embedding of Problems onto Quantum Annealers Ellis Wilson, Frank Mueller, Scott Pakin

NC STATE UNIVERSITY

Motivation

- Quantum annealers benefit from high qubit connectivity
- "Chains" of physical qubits used to represent single virtual qubits
- Embedding problems onto current topology is NP-Hard
- Previous work[1] shows improvement for specific problem by adding extra structural constraints • Separates the problem into cells of 2 qubits
- Cells can only be embedded onto certain physical qubits on the Chimera structure Similar to the idea behind DWave's *Locally Structured Embeddings*

NchooseK

NchooseK is a domain specific, constraint-based language built for automatically setting up problems for both gate-based machines and quantum annealers[2].

- Good candidates for modular embedding, thanks to constraint-based nature
- NchooseK uses constraints which say "Of N variables, K must be true"
- Constraints take the form $nck([N_1, ..., N_n], \{K_1, ..., K_m\})$
- Many NP problems have been solved with Nchoosek
- One-hot encoding problems particularly suited to this type of embedding
 - Several qubits represent one variable
- Qubit measured as |1> indicates "hot" value
- Map coloring problem good example here
- Map coloring uses 2 kinds of constraints, shown below:
- Circles represent variables (regions P and Q)
- Boxes represent constraints, number shows K for that constraint



- One constraint per node to ensure one color per node:
 - nck({a₁, a₂, ..., a_n}, {1})
- n constraints per edge ensuring two nodes of the same color not connected:







Connectivity of central cells on section of DWave Pegasus architecture Abstract representation in upper right corner Thick colored line indicates chain representing single virtual qubit

in	прс	ort	: no	cho
er	١V	=	ncł	າວດ
Ρ	=	['	Pr	, ,
Q	=	['	Qr ²	, ,
fc	or	i	in	Ρ
			en	v.
er	ı۷ .	nc	:k(I	ς,
er	۱v.	nc	:k((2 ,
fc	or	id	lx,	р
			en	v.
۵r	11/	50	1.7	

Methodology



- Each qubit within the cell connected to every other qubit within the cell Corresponding qubits in connected cells must be connected to each other
- Ex: P_r connected to Q_r in NchooseK example
- Cell for 3 colors use 4 qubits (bottom left)

- Other version uses 4 qubits per cell (bottom right, unused)
- Cells have degree of 2 or 3
- Additional constraints on placement depending on connectivity
- Cells need to be combined to connect with cells not on the same diagonal

North Carolina State University, Los Alamos National Lab



NchooseK Usage

- sek.Environment() <code><code>`Po', 'Pg', 'Pb'</code>]</code> Qo', 'Qg', 'Qb'] register_port(i) n enumerate(P):
- ck([p, Q[idx]], {0, 1})
- We decided to start our investigation with the Map Color and Clique Cover problems due to their one-hot encoding lending to distinct cells with predictable connections between them.
- First tried DWave's current Pegasus architecture.
- Tried to find good encodings for maps of 3 and 4 colors
- These cells need the following properties:
- Each cell must be a clique
 - Thicker line indicates a chain, representing one virtual qubit
 - Cells have a degree of 4
- Two cells found for 4 colors
- One version uses 8 qubits per cell (bottom center)
 - Cells have a degree of 6
- Minorminer was used to map on our abstract maps and on the full Pegasus map



Results

- embedding time

· Integration						
Number of States	Virtual Qubits	% Correct	Physical Qubits	Av. Chain Length	Max Length	Embed Time (s)
9	36	14	112	3.111	4	0.0051
11	44	6	136	3.091	6	0.0060
15	60	1	200	3.333	8	0.0091
19	76	0	256	3.368	6	0.0161
25	100		352	3.52	6	0.0224
35	140		480	3.429	8	0.0486
48	192		864	4.5	12	0.0922
Number of States	Virtual Qubits	% Correct	# Qubits	Av. Chain Length	Max Length	Embed Time (s)
Number of States 9	Virtual Qubits 36	% Correct25	# Qubits 70	Av. Chain Length 1.944	Max Length	Embed Time (s) 0.1984
Number of States 9 11	Virtual Qubits 36 44	% Correct 25 22	# Qubits 70 86	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955	Max Length 3 2	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333
Number of States 9 11 15	Virtual Qubits 36 44 60	<mark>% Correct</mark> 25 22 5	# Qubits 70 86 122	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033	Max Length 3 2 4	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123
Number of States 9 11 15 19	Virtual Qubits 36 44 60 76	<pre>% Correct 25 22 5 4</pre>	# Qubits 70 86 122 176	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033 2.358	Max Length 3 2 4 4	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123 0.5123
Number of States911151925	Virtual Qubits 36 44 60 76 100	% Correct 25 22 5 4 1	# Qubits 70 86 122 176 252	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033 2.358 2.520	Max Length 3 2 4 4 4 5	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123 0.3123 0.5123 0.6845
Number of States91115192535	Virtual Qubits36446076100140	% Correct 25 22 5 4 1 0	# Qubits 70 86 122 176 252 357	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033 2.358 2.358 2.520 2.412	Max Length 3 2 4 5 5	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123 0.3123 0.5123 0.6845 1.0033

· Iviouulai	mappings oneo	again anacip				8
Number of States	Virtual Qubits	% Correct	Physical Qubits	Av. Chain Length	Max Length	Embed Time (s)
9	36	14	112	3.111	4	0.0051
11	44	6	136	3.091	6	0.0060
15	60	1	200	3.333	8	0.0091
19	76	0	256	3.368	6	0.0161
25	100		352	3.52	6	0.0224
35	140		480	3.429	8	0.0486
48	192		864	4.5	12	0.0922
Number of States	Virtual Qubits	% Correct	# Qubits	Av. Chain Length	Max Length	Embed Time (s)
Number of States 9	Virtual Qubits 36	% Correct25	# Qubits 70	Av. Chain Length 1.944	Max Length 3	Embed Time (s) 0.1984
Number of States 9 11	Virtual Qubits 36 44	% Correct 25 22	# Qubits 70 86	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955	Max Length 3 2	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333
Number of States 9 11 15	Virtual Qubits 36 44 60	<pre>% Correct 25 22 5</pre>	# Qubits 70 86 122	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033	Max Length 3 2 4	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123
Number of States 9 11 15 19	Virtual Qubits 36 44 60 76	% Correct 25 22 5 4	# Qubits 70 86 122 176	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033 2.358	Max Length Image: Constraint of the second seco	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123 0.5123
Number of States911151925	Virtual Qubits 36 44 60 76 100	% Correct 25 22 5 4 1	# Qubits 70 86 122 176 252	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033 2.358 2.520	Max Length Image: Second s	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123 0.3123 0.5123 0.6845
Number of States91115192535	Virtual Qubits 36 44 60 76 100 140	% Correct 25 22 5 4 1 0	# Qubits 70 86 122 176 252 357	Av. Chain Length 1.944 1.955 2.033 2.358 2.358 2.520 2.412	Max Length Image: Second s	Embed Time (s) 0.1984 0.2333 0.3123 0.3123 0.5123 0.6845 1.0033

TABLE: Selected results for 4 color maps of US. Top: Modular embedding. Bottom: Standard embedding. 3 color, clique cover, and DWave Chimera architecture performed similarly.



Conclusions

- Finding good modular maps is non-trivial
- Modular embedding is faster but worse than full map embedding in this case
- Modular embedding is better in other situations [1]
- Likely better performance with cell size 2 or different necessary connections between cells
- Unable to take advantage of many connections on Pegasus

References/Acknowledgements



Oct 2021





• Map color problem based on US continental map, starting with Tennessee Compared metrics of the mappings: Average/Max chain length, total number of qubits,

Modular (blue) performed worse than standard (red), except in embed time Ran some problems on physical machines, compared correctness Modular mannings once again undernerformed when compared to standard manning

Embedding time for Modular vs Standard Embedding

- [1] Joseph Fustero, Scott Palmtag, and Frank Mueller "Quantum Annealing Stencils with Applications to Fuel Loading of a Nuclear Reactor" in IEEE International Conference on Quantum Computing and Engineering (QCE),
- [2] Ellis Wilson, Frank Mueller, and Scott Pakin "Combining hard and soft constraints in quantum constraint-satisfaction systems" In Proceedings of the International Conference on High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (SC '22). IEEE Press, 2022, Article 13, 1-14.

Research presented in this paper was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program of Los Alamos National Laboratory under project number 20210397ER. Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S.

Department of Energy (contract no. 89233218CNA000001). This work was also supported

in part by LANL subcontract 725530 and by NSF awards DMR-1747426, PHY-1818914, OAC-1917383, MPS-2120757, and CISE-2217020.